Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) Project Evaluation Criteria 2023-2026 TIP

1	Pollutant Reduction			Category Weighting	Total Possible Points
•	Pollutant Reduction			= 2.25	22.5
			> 750 lbs per day or PM-10 > 4,000 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	10 -Or-	22.5 -Or-
	Project reduces CO by:		> 500 lbs per day or PM-10 > 2500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	6 -Or-	13.5 -Or-
		> -250 lbs per day or PM-10 > 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	4 -Or-	9.0 -Or-	
			< 250 lbs per day or PM-10 > 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	2 -Or-	4.5 -Or-
			No Pollutant Reduction	0	0
2	Reducing Delay			Category Weighting = 1.0	Total Possible Points
			Significantly reduces VHD in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques	10 -Or-	10.0 -Or-
		Cost effectiveness in reducing delay (reduces vehicle hours of delay)	Moderately reduces VHD in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques	6 -Or-	6.0 -Or-
			Negligible reduction in VHD	4	4
3	Air Pollutant Reduction			Category Weighting = .75	Total Possible Points 7.5
			Significant benefits	10 - <i>Or</i> -	7.5 -Or-
		Reduction of other pollutants such as fine particulate (PM-2.5), air toxics, greenhouse gases	Some benefits	6 -Or-	4.5 -Or-
	guoo	•	No benefit	0	0
4	Safety			Category Weighting = 0.6	Total Possible Points 6.0
		Contributions to public safety	Yes	10	6.0 -Or-
			No	0	0.0
5	Alternative Transportation			Category Weighting = 0.6	Total Possible Points 6.0
			Project provides for a new transit service	10 - <i>Or</i> -	6.0 -Or-
		Project provides/encourages use of alternative transportation methods and/or discourages S.O.V. use?	Project implements a transportation demand management (TDM) strategy	6 -Or-	3.6 -Or-
			Project does not provide any of these measures	0	0
6	Plan Approval			Category Weighting	Total Possible Points
•	Типтироготи			= 0.6	6.0
		Required By or Supports an Approved Plan:	Supports a required SIP project	10 - <i>Or</i> -	6.0 - <i>Or</i> -
			Supports an approved plan other than SIP (i.e. Comp Plan, Trails Plan, Transit Plan, District Plan, etc.)	6 -Or-	3.6 -Or-
			Not in an approved plan	0	0
	•				

Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) Project Evaluation Criteria 2023-2026 TIP

Between large segments of the city such as Domition to Mictorn and L-Med 10 5.0 Between large segments of the city such as Domition to Mictorn and L-Med 10 5.0 Between large segments of the city such as Domition to Mictorn and L-Med 10 5.0 Project located as to serve or benefit: Within segments of community counted sees while preserving segments of					Category Weighting	Total Possible Points
Project located as to serve or benefit: Whith neighborhood integrity One registrochood or community council areas while preserving and a 2 of 2 of 3 of 3	7	Population Served	Planning Factors 1,4 & 5			5.0
Project located as to serve or herseff: Community council areas while preserving neighborhood integrity Co-				Between large segments of the city such as Downtown to Midtown and U-Med		
Project is located within 1.4 mile of an EJ area and will provide new or improved access to transit, production or other facilities or improved access to transit, production and completed within one year of receiving facilities or improved access to transit, production and acceptated within one year of receiving facilities or increasing accesses to transit production and completed within one year of receiving facilities or production or increasing accesses to transit production and acceptated within one year of receiving facilities or increasing acceptated within one year of receiving facilities or increasing acceptated w			Project located as to serve or benefit:			
Planning Factors 1,4,5,8,9 Project is located within 14 mile of an EJ area and will provide new or improved access to transit, pedestrian or bitle facilities						
Paraming Factors 1.4.5, 8.9 Project is located within 14 mile of an EJ area and will provide new or morproved access to transit, podestran or bise facilities				One neighborhood	2	1.0
Environmental Justice Project cates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not within 1/4 mile of an EJ area. Project creates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not within 1/4 mile of an EJ area. Project creates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not within 1/4 mile of an EJ area. Project creates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not within 1/4 mile of an EJ area. Project creates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not mile access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not mile access to transf. pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not mile access to transf. Project is not project in project or projec	8		Planning Factors 1,4,5, & 9			Total Possible Points 4.0
Environmental Justice or improved access to transit, podestrian or bike facilities or project is not within X mile of an EJ area. Project creates a disproportionale negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transit service, or negatively impacts pedestrian or bike facilities 5.2.0. Project Readiness Category Weighting						
access to transit service, or negatively impacts pedestrian or bike facilities Category Weighting = 0.4 All funds requested immediately and be completed within one year of receiving funding All funds requested immediately and be completed within 2 years of receiving funding Can the project obligate: A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; years of receiving funding A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; years of receiving funding A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; years of receiving first funding A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; years of receiving first funding - Or - O			Environmental Justice	or improved access to transit, pedestrian or bike facilities or project is not		
All funds requested immediately and be completed within one year of receiving funding All funds requested within three months of FFY and be completed within 2					-5	-2.0
All funds requested immediately and be completed within one year of receiving funding -0r	9	Project Readiness				Total Possible Points
funding					- 0.4	4.0
Can the project obligate: A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; project completed within 3 years of receiving first funding Requires concept approval; matching funds not identified; uncertain timeline for obligation, construction and completion ITS Planning Factors 1,2,3,4,7,9 & 10 Extensive use; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Extensive use; new ITS/innovative technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Extensive use; new ITS/innovative technology; new application of existing 10 4.0 -OrOrOrOrOrOrOrOr		Can the project obligate:				
A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project;						
for obligation, construction and completion ITS Planning Factors 1,2,3,4,7,9 & 10 Extensive use; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose: Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS Total Possible Points are used in the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology; mostly applications and technology are additionally are additionally and and are additionally and and are additionally and a			Can the project obligate:			· ·
Planning Factors 1,2,3,4,7,9 & 10 Extensive use; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose: Some use of ITS/innovative technology; mostly applications and technology Gore - Or- Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS No ITS or innovative technology/applications O Category Weighting - Or- Or- Total Possible Points - Or- High High 10 4.0 -Or- -Or- Total Possible Points - Or- -Or- Moderate High Moderate Moderate					2	0.8
Extensive use; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose: Some use of ITS/innovative technology; mostly applications and technology of technology already in use Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS Total Possible Points Economic Benefits Planning Factors 1,5,7, & 10 High High Total Possible Points 4.0 Category Weighting = 0.4 High 10 4.0 Cor- Or- Total Possible Points 4.0 Moderate Moderate High Moderate A.0 A.0 A.0 A.0 A.0 A.0 A.0 A.	10	ITS	Planning Factors 1,2,3,4,7,9 & 10			Total Possible Points
involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose: Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS					_	4.0
Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS $ \frac{4}{-Or} = \frac{1.6}{-Or} $ No ITS or innovative technology/applications $ 0 \qquad 0 $ Total Possible Points $ = 0.4 $ High $ \frac{10}{-Or} = \frac{4}{-Or} $ Economic Benefits after project completion $ \frac{4}{-Or} = \frac{1.6}{-Or} $ High $ \frac{10}{-Or} = \frac{4.0}{-Or} $ For any weighting and the points of the poin		involves or uses I	involves or uses ITS or other innovative			
Total Possible Points			technology to achieve its intended purpose:	Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS		
Economic Benefits Planning Factors 1,5,7, & 10				No ITS or innovative technology/applications	0	0
High	11	Economic Benefits	Planning Factors 1,5,7, & 10			Total Possible Points
Economic benefits after project completion High -Or- 6 2.4 -Or- -Or- -Or-						
Economic benefits after project completion Moderate -OrOr-		E	Economic benefits after project completion	High		
Low 2 0.8				Moderate		
				Low	2	0.8
			Economic benefits after project completion	Moderate	-Or- 6 -Or-	-Or- 2.4 -Or-

Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) Project Evaluation Criteria 2023-2026 TIP

12	Multimodal Contribution	Planning Factors 1,2,3,4,5,6 & 10		Category Weighting = 0.4	Total Possible Points 4.0
			Significant links or significantly improves mode transitions and improvements are needed immediately	10 -Or-	4.0 -Or-
		Includes multimodal links	Moderate links or moderately improves mode transitions and improvements are needed	6 -Or-	2.4 -Or-
			Minimal links	2 -Or-	0.8 -Or-
			No links	0	0
13	Total Project Cost			Category Weighting	Total Possible Points
10	Total Troject Gost			= 0.25	2.5
			\$500,000 or less	10 - <i>Or</i> -	2.5 -Or-
		Total funding required:	> \$500,000 but < \$750,000	6 -Or-	1.5 -Or-
		Total talling required.	> \$750,000 but < \$1,000,000	4 -Or-	1.0 - <i>Or</i> -
			>\$1,000,000	2	0.5
44		Planning Factors 1, 2, 7, 8, & 9		Category Weighting = 0.25	Total Possible Points
14	O&M Costs				2.5
			Low	10 - <i>Or</i> -	2.5 -Or-
		O&M costs associated with project	Moderate	6 -Or-	1.5 -Or-
			High	2	0.5
15	Project Support	Planning Factors 5 & 7		Category Weighting = 0.25	Total Possible Points
					2.5
			Broad based area-wide support and project is in an approved Transportation related plan	10 - <i>Or</i> -	2.5 -Or-
			Local area support for project (resolution from local government)	6 -Or-	1.5 -Or-
		Public Support:	Limited support for project (neighborhood petition, community council resolution)	4 -Or-	1.0 - <i>Or-</i>
			Mixed support (documented opposition and support)	2 -Or-	6.0 -Or-
			No significant support for project is documented	0 -Or-	0.5 -Or-
			Local opposition is documented	-5	-1.25