MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE

ORDINANCE No. 2024-115

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE SUBMISSION TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF ANCHORAGE, ALASKA, THE QUESTION OF THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED SIXTY-THREE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED TWENTY-TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$63,822,000) OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE TO PAY THE COSTS OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT AT THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE MUNICIPALITY ON APRIL 1, 2025.

Prepared by

K&L GATES LLP

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE ORDINANCE No. 2024-115

TABLE OF CONTENTS^{*}

Page

Section 1.	Purpose	.1
Section 2.	Details of Bonds	.2
Section 3.	Ballot Proposition	.3
Section 4.	Effective Dates	.4

^{*} This Table of Contents and the cover page are for convenience of reference and are not intended to be a part of this ordinance.

1 2		Requested by:	Chair of the Assembly at the Request of the						
1 2 3 4 5 6		Prepared by:	Anchorage School District Cynthia M. Weed, Bond Counsel						
6 7 8		For Reading:	K&L Gates LLP December 17, 2024						
9 10 11	MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE, ALASKA ORDINANCE No. 2024-115								
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE SUBMISSION TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF ANCHORAGE, ALASKA, THE QUESTION OF THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED SIXTY-THREE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED TWENTY-TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$63,822,000) OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE TO PAY THE COSTS OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT AT THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE MUNICIPALITY ON APRIL 1, 2025.								
20 21 22 23 24 25	WHEREAS, the existing educational facili Alaska (the "Municipality") are in ne replacements, planning, design, renovati and	ed of building	systems renewal, and						
23 26 27 28 29	WHEREAS , the Assembly of the Municipality and School Board have identified necessary construction, renovations, replacements, upgrades, planning, design and improvements to school facilities; and								
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	WHEREAS, in order to provide funds renovations, replacements, upgrades, further described in Section 1 of this of necessary and advisable that the Munici bonds in the principal amount of not to therefore,	planning, desig ordinance (the pality issue and	n and improvements as "Projects"), it is deemed sell its general obligation						
30 37 38	THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAI	INS:							
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<u>Section 1</u> . <u>Purpose</u> . The Assembly facilities and building systems are in needesign, renovation and upgrades (the "Prestructural, site/circulation, mechanical, electrice improvements at Spring Hill Elementary Sintercom, seismic/structural, mechanical adequacy improvements and renovation complete West High School Utilidor Phase and electrical and replacing ageing b	ed of constructio ojects"). Specif ectrical, finishes School, secure v cal, electrical, ions at Camp se 3, including r	on, renewal, replacement, ically, the Projects include and educational adequacy estibule, intrusion system, finishes and education bell Elementary School, removing abandoned pipe						

upgrades for building wide systems and complete design at Bartlett High School,
 construction of security vestibules and security improvements at Scenic Park,
 Baxter and Ravenwood Elementary Schools, intrusion system replacements,
 installation of access control for 15 schools, planning and design for 2026-2028
 projects, and heavy equipment replacement.

The Projects are described in more detail in the Assembly Memorandum accompanying this ordinance. The cost of all necessary planning, acquisition of property for, site preparation, construction, installing and equipping of the Projects, architectural, engineering, design, and other consulting services, inspection and testing, administrative and relocation expenses, costs of issuance of the Bonds (hereinafter defined) and other costs incurred in connection with the Projects shall be deemed to be costs of the approved Projects. The approved Projects may be completed with all necessary equipment and appurtenances.

The School District shall determine the application of available money as between the various Projects set forth above so as to accomplish, as nearly as may be, all of the Projects described or provided for in this section.

If the School District shall determine that it has become impractical to accomplish any portion of the approved Projects by reason of changed conditions or needs, incompatible development or costs substantially in excess of those estimated, the School District shall not be required to accomplish such portions and shall apply Bond proceeds as set forth in this section.

If the approved Projects have been completed in whole or in part, or their completion duly provided for, or their completion found to be impractical, the School District may apply Bond proceeds or any portion thereof to other School District capital improvements as the School Board in its discretion shall determine and, if otherwise, then solely to payment of principal or interest on the Bonds, as provided in the Home Rule Charter. In the event that the proceeds of sale of the Bonds, plus any other money of the School District legally available, are insufficient to accomplish the approved Projects, the School District shall use the available funds for paying the cost of those portions of the approved Projects for which the Bonds were approved deemed by the School Board most necessary and in the best interest of the School Board. No Bond proceeds shall be used by the Municipality for any purpose other than a capital improvement of the Municipality.

For the purpose of providing funds for the undertaking of the Projects, which are hereby found to be a public purpose and in the public interest, the Municipality hereby proposes to issue general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed Sixty-Three Million Eight Hundred Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars (\$63,822,000) (the "Bonds").

45 46 **Section 2**. <u>Details of Bonds</u>. The Bonds shall be sold in such amounts and at such time or times as deemed necessary and advisable by the Assembly and as

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

#508719122.1

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

permitted by law and shall mature over a period of not to exceed 20 years from date of issue. The Bonds shall be issued in an aggregate principal amount of not to exceed \$63,822,000. The Bonds shall bear interest to be fixed at the time of sale or sales thereof. The exact form, terms, conditions, contents, security, options of redemption, and such other matters relating to the issuance and sale of said Bonds as are deemed necessary and advisable by the Assembly shall be as hereinafter determined and/or delegated by ordinance and/or resolution of the Assembly.

The full faith and credit of the Municipality is pledged for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds, and ad valorem taxes upon all taxable property in the Municipality shall be levied without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the principal and interest on the Bonds when due.

Section 3. <u>Ballot Proposition</u>. The Assembly hereby submits to the qualified voters of the Municipality the proposition of whether or not the Municipality should issue the Bonds for the purpose of financing the costs of the approved Projects at the regular municipal election to be held on April 1, 2025.

The Clerk shall prepare the ballot proposition to be submitted to the voters as provided by this ordinance and the Municipal Code and shall perform all necessary steps in accordance with law to place this proposition before the voters at the regular election. The proposition must receive a majority vote of those in the Municipality voting on the question to be approved. The proposition shall be substantially in the following form:

PROPOSITION NO.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT BONDS

For the purpose of providing educational capital improvements, construction, upgrades, planning, design, and renovation of school facilities and educational facility building life extension projects within Anchorage, as provided in AO 2024-___, shall Anchorage borrow money and issue up to \$63,822,000 in principal amount of general obligation bonds?

The general obligation bond proceeds will be used to pay costs of constructing, renovating, installing, planning, designing, acquiring and equipping educational capital improvement projects including, but not limited to, structural, site/circulation, mechanical, electrical, finishes and educational adequacy improvements at Spring Hill Elementary School, secure vestibule, intrusion system, intercom, seismic/structural, mechanical, electrical, finishes and education adequacy improvements and renovations at Campbell Elementary

1 2 3 4	School, complete West High School Utilidor Phase 3, including removing abandoned pipe and electrical and replacing ageing building infrastructure within the utilidor, upgrades for building wide systems and complete design at Bartlett High School,
5	construction of security vestibules and security improvements
6	at Scenic Park, Baxter and Ravenwood Elementary Schools,
7	intrusion system replacements, installation of access control for
8	15 schools, planning and design for 2026-2028 projects, and
9	heavy equipment replacement.
10	
11	Voter approval of this bond proposition authorizes for each
12	\$100,000 of assessed taxable property value (based on the
13 14	estimated 2025 assessed valuation) an annual increase in taxes of approximately \$12.90 to retire the proposed bonds.
14	taxes of approximately \$12.90 to retire the proposed bonds.
16	The debt will be paid from real and personal property taxes
17	levied and collected areawide in Anchorage. Anchorage will
18 19	also pledge its full faith and credit for payment of the bonds.
20 21	YES NO
22 23 24 25 26	Section 4 . Effective Dates. Section 2 of this ordinance shall become effective only if the proposition described in Section 3 is approved by a majority of the qualified voters voting on the proposition at the regular election held on April 1, 2025. The remaining sections of this ordinance shall become effective upon passage and approval by the Assembly.
27 28 29 30	PASSED AND APPROVED by the Assembly of the Municipality of Anchorage, this day of, 2024.
31	
32	Ву
33 34 35	By Chair of the Assembly ATTEST:
33 36	
37	Municipal Clerk
51	

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE

ASSEMBLY MEMORANDUM

No. AM 933-2024

Meeting Date: December 17, 2024

1	From: ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT								
2 3 4 5 6 7	Subject:	AO 2024-115	Fc O	One Ballot Proposition to Provide For the Issuance of General Obligation Bonds for Educational Capital Improvements					
8 9	The ordinance will place a general obligation bond proposition for the Anchorage School District on the ballot for the regular Municipal election to be held on April 1, 2025.								
14 15	The Anchorage School Board approved one ballot proposition to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds for education-related capital projects on November 19, 2024: ASD Memorandum #042 (2024-2025), Approval of April 2025 Bond Proposal. This recommendation includes proposed Capital Improvement Projects in the amount of								
	The School Board requests the Anchorage Assembly place one proposition, as stated on AO 2024-XXX on the April 1, 2025 ballot for consideration by qualified voters of the Municipality of Anchorage. The proposition will pay the cost of capital improvement projects for the Anchorage School District, including, but not limited to, the following:								
22 23 24 25		l Security Vestibule & S	ecurity	Estimated <u>Cost</u>	Estimated Annual Operating and <u>Maintenance</u>				
26 27 28 20	Improve Intrusion	ements System Replacements		\$ 6,693,000 \$4,100,000					
29 30 31	Access Co	ontrol Installation – Phas	e 1	\$ 1,000,000					
32 33 34	Bartlett H and Des	igh School Infrastructur ign	e Improvements	\$9,366,000					
35 36	Spring Hi	ll Elementary School Im	provements	\$14,005,000					
37 38	-	Elementary School Ren		\$11,483,000					
39 40		n School Utilidor Phase		\$9,510,000					
41 42 43		& Design 2024/2025 Pro uipment Replacement	jects	\$3,865,000 \$3,800,000					

1 2

3

Proposition Total

\$63,822,000

4 This proposal provides for educational capital improvement replacement, building life
5 extension, security improvements, and seismic resilience and safety projects. The
6 approximate annual amount of taxes on \$100,000 of assessed real and personal property
7 value (based on the estimated 2023 assessed valuation) to retire the proposed debt is \$12.90.
8 Voters will not be asked to approve an increase in annual operating costs.

9

10 The projects are described in more detail per ASD Memorandum #042 (2024-2025),11 Attachment A.

12

13 DOCUMENTATION OF NEED:

14 The District operates and maintains the largest physical plant of any public entity in the
15 state, with approximately 7.8 million square feet of facilities. The replacement value of
16 District buildings exceeds \$2 billion. The District is responsible for 89 facilities, housing
17 approximately 42,600 students (more students than the total of the next three largest Alaska
18 districts), and more than 5,700 staff members. Anchorage educates approximately 33 percent
19 of the State's total student population. Not only is the facility inventory large, but it is also
20 aging. The average age of district facilities is 39 years. Approximately 39% of the facilities
21 are more than 50 years old, including 16 facilities that are 60 years or older.

22

Facility systems have an expected life span and the extreme conditions of Alaska only
shorten their life expectancy. Roofs (20-25 years) deteriorate and leak; boilers (25-30 years),
plumbing and ventilation systems wear out; and other systems like fire alarms, security
systems, intercoms, etc., (10-15 years) age to the point where they are no longer supported.
Various code changes over the years and in some situations, legal compliance requires
facilities to be updated. Moreover, roof replacements and other upgrades often require
seismic structural upgrades to meet more stringent building codes.

30

Functional obsolescence is another challenge facing older schools. LED lighting is quickly
replacing florescent lighting to capture energy savings. Over the life of a school,
programmatic changes take place that require updating the facility. For example, there is
continual need to update electrical distribution systems in schools to accommodate current
technology. Additionally, current educational delivery methods require physical layouts
that are often different and more flexible than those of 30 to 40 years ago.

37

38 ASD Maintenance utilizes a fleet of heavy equipment to plow, move and haul snow. Other
39 vehicles in the fleet are used to sand and sweep school parking lots. This essential service
40 keeps schools open during the winter months by providing safe access to all ASD facilities
41 for students and staff.

42

43 The fleet is old and has mostly aged well beyond its serviceable life. There has been a lack of44 available funding in recent years to keep up with a reasonable replacement plan.

45

46 This has resulted in significant downtime of equipment due to the more frequent need for

47 repairs and delays caused by obsolescence of parts and components. Work stoppages have

48 become routine, as the aging equipment has been pushed beyond its capacity, especially

- **49** during the recent heavier winters.
- 50

1 The proposed replacement plan provides a comprehensive update and modernization of the
2 fleet, including tractors, dump trucks, loaders, graders, sanders, and sweepers.

3

4 Capital Planning Management

5 Since 2009, the District has implemented a Facility Condition Assessment Program (FCAP)
6 in order to identify and prioritize facility capital requirements more strategically based on
7 quantitative data. The District has integrated the use of VFA software to assist in assessing
8 facility conditions, maintain the facility condition database and analyze the information to
9 determine and forecast capital planning needs.

10

11 The FCAP centralizes information on facilities' component and system conditions as well as
12 remaining life expectancy. This information is collected during facility condition
13 assessments utilizing a systematic and consistent methodology. The collected information
14 serves as a basis for identifying, prioritizing and estimating costs of the District's capital
15 needs and is used for both short term and long term planning purposes.

16

17 Facility Condition Assessments

18

19 The District contracts annually with VFA (a nationally recognized firm) to maintain the
20 FCAP. From 2009 to 2013, all District-owned facilities were assessed by a team of engineers
21 and architects. During the summer of 2024, the District contracted with VFA to update
22 FCAP data of approximately 3M sf of facilities. Internally, the District Maintenance and
23 Capital Planning departments reviewed this data and provided validation to the assessment
24 updates.

25

26 The facility condition assessment process is a field assessment where building system
27 components are evaluated based on condition and age of the system. Results of the initial
28 assessment identified aging system requirements, in addition to recommended replacement
29 year and estimated cost. These data are maintained in the FCAP database and validated
30 annually by District staff that provides quality control and incorporates institutional
31 knowledge on the collected information.

32

Based on the facility condition assessment, a Facility Condition Index (FCI) is calculated to
determine its relative condition and estimated investment cost versus like replacement cost.
All District facilities have an individual FCI and a Districtwide FCI can also be determined
to measure the condition of the physical plant. According to the national standard, an FCI
less than .05 is considered Excellent, .05-.10 is considered Good and .10-1.0 ranges from Fair
to Poor. With an estimated ~\$2 billion Current Replacement Value (CRV) and a ~\$1.237 B
deferred requirements backlog, the District's current FCI is ~0.50.

40

41 According to the National Council of School Facilities "2021 State of Our Schools Report", best
42 practice within the facility management industry is to re-invest 3% of the CRV annually for
43 Minor Repair/Preventive Maintenance. This amount covers annual maintenance and
44 operations, typically funded through the General Fund. Additionally, 4% of the CRV is
45 recommended to include renewals, alterations and reduce deferred capital requirements,
46 normally funded through Capital Funds. Capital funding includes 2% for key component
47 renewals (roofs, boilers, etc...); 1% for alterations to meet programmatic changes and
48 technological developments, and 1% for the systematic reduction of deferred requirements.
49 For the District, this would equate to ~\$60M/year (3% of CRV) for Minor Repair/Preventive
50 Maintenance, \$40M (2% of CRV) for key component renewals (roofs, boilers, etc...), \$20M

1 (1% of CRV) for alterations to meet programmatic changes and technological developments,
 2 and \$20M (1% of CRV) for systematic reduction of deferred requirements.

3

4 Educational Adequacy Assessments

5 Educational program requirements are defined by districtwide educational specifications
6 for elementary, middle, and high school levels, which were approved by the School Board
7 on September 6, 2012. The Educational Adequacy Index (EAI) reflects the ability of a school
8 to meet their educational program requirements by evaluating the quantity, configuration,
9 size, and existence of spaces defined by educational specifications. For example, a school
10 would have a higher EAI if they did not have enough classrooms to offer health classes, or a
11 gymnasium in addition to a multi-purpose room in order to allow for concurrent lunches

- **12** and physical activity.
- 13

14 To date, all district-owned school sites have been assessed for educational adequacy except
15 for the King Technical High School. In 2010-11, sixteen locations were assessed, nineteen
16 sites were assessed in 2011, 50 sites were assessed in 2012, and Aquarian Charter School was
17 assessed in 2015.

18

19 Much like the FCI, an EAI is the ratio of the cost to correct educational specification
20 deficiencies divided by the replacement cost of the facility. Unlike the FCI, an industry
21 standard does not exist for an EAI. However, a high EAI reflects greater challenges with
22 education program delivery compared to a school with a smaller EAI. Addressing EAI
23 deficiencies often requires extensive renovations.

24

25 OVERALL DEBT SERVICE

As of June 30, 2024, the District had \$381.815 million of outstanding bond debt, down \$198
million from the same time 10 years ago. Subsequent to the our fiscal year end the district
did sell an additional bond of \$66.13 million bring the total outstanding to \$447.945 million.
In the last two fiscal years, ASD has paid off \$100.55 million of debt. During that time, the
District received \$100.157 million in new voter authorization to additional debt to fund
capital improvements.

32

33 The District works closely with the municipal administrators and financial advisors to
34 engage the market to reduce the debt and interest rates regularly. Over the past five years,
35 the District refunded \$169.7 million in existing debt at an improved rate, reducing the
36 overall debt service by nearly \$18.2 million. In Fiscal year 2024 the district did not issue a
37 refunding bond.

38

39 In the next five years, the District anticipates paying off approximately \$165.57 million in40 principal, averaging about \$33.12 million each year.

41

42 STATE DEBT REIMBURSEMENT

43 Since 1970, the State of Alaska has provided school districts up to 70 percent debt
44 reimbursement for qualified, voter-approved capital improvement school bonds. Senate Bill
45 237, passed by the Legislature in July 2010, provided 60 percent or 70 percent debt
46 reimbursement on school construction projects that have received local voter approval after
47 October 1, 2006. School construction projects that do not include additional square footage
48 are typically eligible for 70 percent reimbursement.

49

1 Of the \$381.815 million bonds outstanding as of June 30, 2023, the state debt reimbursement
2 program is expected to cover 26.63 percent. This, however, is subject to appropriation and is
3 susceptible to governor's vetoes or legislative action.

4

5 House Bill 106, approved into law in March 2020, enacted a sunset of Alaska statutes related
6 to the state debt reimbursement program effective January 1, 2015 through July 1, 2025. Per
7 AS 14.11.100, if the legislature does not take further action on these statutes, reimbursement
8 rates of 50% for projects for new construction that qualify for space and 40% for projects that
9 add space, including replacing space, but do not qualify for space would be reinstated.

10

11 COST OF \$63.822 MILLION BOND PROPOSAL TO THE LOCAL TAXPAYERS

12 The approximate amount of annual taxes on \$100,000 of assessed property valuation to
13 retire the proposed debt of \$63.822 million is \$12.90. The calculation of estimated taxes is
14 based on an estimated 4.5 percent weighted interest rate for twenty years, as shown in
15 attachment B.

16

17 The amount of annual taxes on \$100,000 of assessed property valuation reduced due to bond
18 debt retirement over the proposed FY 2024-25 is \$38.705 million. The difference between
19 what is proposed and what will be retired accounts for an increase of \$8.27 per \$100,000 of
20 assessed valuation, if this proposition was sold at one time. However, most bond
21 authorizations are sold over a three-to-five-year period.

22

23 It is the District's hope that the information provided in this memorandum assists the
24 Assembly in making a decision regarding the placement of the District's recommended
25 bond proposition on the ballot for the April 1, 2025 Municipal Election.

- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32 Attachments

Respectfully submitted,

Mannet Brynt

Dr. Jharrett Bryantt Superintendent



Agenda Item Details

Meeting	Nov 19, 2024 - School Board Meeting
Category	F. Action Items
Subject	3. ASD Memorandum #042 - Approval of April 2025 Bond Proposal
Туре	Action
Recommended Action	To approve the following bond proposal: Proposition I – Security Improvements, Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements & Design, Spring Hill Elementary School Improvements, Campbell Elementary School Renovation, West High School Utilidor Phase 3, planning and design for 2024-2025 projects, and Heavy Equipment Replacement in the amount of \$63.822 million.

ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

ASD MEMORANDUM #042 (2024-2025)

November 19, 2024

TO: SCHOOL BOARD

FROM: DR. JHARRETT BRYANTT, SUPERINTENDENT

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF APRIL 2025 BOND PROPOSAL

ASD Core Value: The District will be open, transparent & accountable to the public.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the Administration's recommendation that the Anchorage School Board approve the following bond proposal:

Proposition I – Security Improvements, Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements & Design, Spring Hill Elementary School Improvements, Campbell Elementary School Renovation, West High School Utilidor Phase 3, planning and design for 2024-2025 projects, and Heavy Equipment Replacement in the amount of \$63.822 million.

The bond proposition is summarized below:

Prioritized Security Vestibule & Security Improvements	\$6,693,000
Intrusion System Replacements	\$4,100,000
Access Control Installation – Phase 1	\$1,000,000
Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements & Design	\$9,366,000
Spring Hill Elementary School Improvements	\$14,005,000
Campbell Elementary School Renovation	\$11,483,000

Proposition I Total	\$63,822,000
Heavy Equipment Replacement	3,800,000
Planning and Design 2024/2025 Projects	\$3,865,000
West High School Utilidor Phase 3	\$9,510,000

Individual project descriptions are provided in Attachment A.

PERTINENT FACTS:

The Capital Planning & Construction (CP&C) staff prepared a prioritized list of unfunded, emergent requirements utilizing the District's Facility Condition Assessment Program. This consolidated list was prioritized into one, two and three to five year requirements by CP&C and Maintenance & Operations staff.

ASD Maintenance utilizes a fleet of heavy equipment to plow, move and haul snow. Other vehicles in the fleet are used to sand and sweep school parking lots. This essential service keeps schools open during the winter months by providing safe access to all ASD facilities for students and staff.

The fleet is old and has mostly aged well beyond its serviceable life. There has been a lack of available funding in recent years to keep up with a reasonable replacement plan.

This has resulted in significant downtime of equipment due to the more frequent need for repairs and delays caused by obsolescence of parts and components. Work stoppages have become routine, as the aging equipment has been pushed beyond its capacity, especially during the recent heavier winters.

The proposed replacement plan provides a comprehensive update and modernization of the fleet, including tractors, dump trucks, loaders, graders, sanders, and sweepers.

Overall Debt Service

As of June 30, 2024, the District had \$381.815 million of outstanding bond debt, down \$198 million from the same time 10 years ago. Subsequent to the our fiscal year end the district did sell an additional bond of \$66.13 million bring the total outstanding to \$447.945 million. In the last two fiscal years, ASD has paid off \$100.55 million of debt. During that time, the District received \$100.157 million in new voter authorization to additional debt to fund capital improvements.

The District works closely with the municipal administrators and financial advisors to engage the market to reduce the debt and interest rates regularly. Over the past five years, the District refunded \$169.7 million in existing debt at an improved rate, reducing the overall debt service by nearly \$18.2 million. In Fiscal year 2024 the district did not issue a refunding bond.

In the next five years, the District anticipates paying off approximately \$165.57 million in principal, averaging about \$33.12 million each year.

State Debt Reimbursement

Of the \$381.815 million bond debt outstanding as of June 30, 2024, the state debt reimbursement program is expected to cover 24.69 percent. This, however, is subject to appropriation and is susceptible to governor's vetoes or legislative action.

House Bill 106, approved into law in March 2020, enacted a sunset of Alaska statutes related to the state debt reimbursement program effective January 1, 2015 through July 1, 2025. Per AS 14.11.100, if the legislature does not take further action on these statutes, reimbursement rates of 50% for projects for new construction that qualify for space and 40% for projects that add space, including replacing space, but do not qualify for space would be reinstated.

Cost of \$63.822 Million Bond Proposal to the Local Taxpayers

The approximate amount of annual taxes on \$100,000 of assessed property valuation to retire the proposed debt of \$63.822 million is \$12.90. The calculation of estimated taxes is based on an estimated 4.5 percent weighted interest rate for twenty years, as shown in attachment B.

The amount of annual taxes on \$100,000 of assessed property valuation reduced due to bond debt retirement over the proposed FY 2024-25 is \$38.705 million. The difference between what is proposed and what will be retired accounts for an increase of \$8.27 per \$100,000 of assessed valuation, if this proposition was sold at one time. However, most bond authorizations are sold over a three-to-five-year period.

JB/AR/JA/TF/KP/EK/LAMJR/RML/jb

Attachments:

- A. April 2025 Proposed Bond Projects
- B. 2025 Bond Reimbursement Rate and Taxes
- Prepared by: Rachel Molina Lodoen, Project Support Supervisor Larry Morris, Planning & Design Supervisor Edie Knapp, Construction Supervisor Katie Parrott, Senior Director of Management & Budget Thomas Fenoseff, Senior Director, Capital Planning & Construction

Approved by: Jim Anderson, Chief Operating Officer Andy Ratliff, Chief Financial Officer

M042A - AttachA_Project_Desc.pdf (403 KB)

M042B - AttachB_ Bond Rates and Taxes JF.pdf (64 KB)

Motion & Voting

To approve the following bond proposal:

Proposition I – Security Improvements, Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements & Design, Spring Hill Elementary School Improvements, Campbell Elementary School Renovation, West High School Utilidor Phase 3, planning and design for 2024-2025 projects, and Heavy Equipment Replacement in the amount of \$63.822 million.

Motion by Margo Bellamy, second by Dora Wilson.

Final Resolution: Motion Passes

Yea: Margo Bellamy, Dave Donley, Pat Higgins, Andy Holleman, Carl Jacobs, Kelly Lessens, Dora Wilson

Table 1: Capital Improvement Plan2025 - 2030

Year 1: 2025								
District Priority	Project Title & Description	Bond Amount	Comments					
1	Secure Vestibule Group 6 - Provide a secure vestibule and other security upgrades. Includes visitor security, access control, fire systems, intercoms, and intrusion systems. At Scenic Park ES, Baxter ES, and Ravenwood ES.	\$ 6,693,000						
2	Intrusion System Replacement - Older Facility Commander Intrusion Systems will become non- supported October of 2026. This will replace with ASD standard Gemini C.	\$ 4,100,000						
3	Access Control at 15 School Phase 1- Installation of access control for 15 schools that has had Secure Vestibules installed prior to adoption of ASD standard access control. This is year one of a two year project	\$ 1,000,000						
4	Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements and Design - Includes upgrades for buildingwide systems that allow for more efficient phasing like combining disbursed electrical services. Also, completes design to 100% per the board approved master plan.	\$ 9,366,000						
5	Spring Hill Elementary Improvements - Includes Structural, Site/Circulation, Mechanical, Electrical, Finishes, and Educational Adequacies.	\$ 14,005,000						
6	Campbell Elementary Renovation - Includes Secure vestibule, Intrusion Sysytem, Intercom, Seismic/Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, Finishes, and Improve Educational Adequacy.	\$ 11,483,000						
7	West High School Utilidor Phase 3 - Final phase to remove abandoned pipe and electrical. Replace aging building infrastructure within the utilidor.	\$ 9,510,000						
8	Planning and Design for 2026-2028 Projects	\$ 3,865,000						
9	Heavy Equipment Replacement - Provides a comprehensive update and modernization of the heavy equipment fleet to include tractors, dump trucks, loaders, graders, sanders and sweepers.	\$ 3,800,000						
	TOTAL	\$ 63,822,000						

Attachment B

ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT APRIL 2025 SCHOOL BOND PROPOSAL CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED TAXES FOR DEBT SERVICE ON \$100,000 ASSESSED VALUATION

	<u>Term</u>	Bond Proposition <u>Amount</u>	Est. State Debt Reimb. <u>By Project</u>	[1] mual Debt rin. & Int. <u>4.50%</u>	\$ [2] Per \$100,000 Assessed Val. 39,464,975,293	Re	ate Debt imb. Per <u>.00,000</u>	Т	Property axes Per 100,000
Proposition I - Districtwide Building Life Extension Pr	ojects								
Prioritized Security Vestibule & Security Improvements	20	\$6,693,000	0%	\$ 514,532	\$ 1.30	\$	-	\$	1.30
Intrusion System Replacements	20	\$4,100,000	0%	\$ 315,192	\$ 0.80	\$	-	\$	0.80
Access Control Installation - Phase 1	20	\$1,000,000	0%	\$ 76,876	\$ 0.19	\$	-	\$	0.19
Bartlett High School Infrastructure Improvements & Design	20	\$9,366,000	0%	\$ 720,022	\$ 1.82	\$	-	\$	1.82
Spring Hill Elementary School Improvements	20	\$14,005,000	0%	\$ 1,076,650	\$ 2.73	\$	-	\$	2.73
Campbell Elementary School Renovation	20	\$11,483,000	0%	\$ 882,769	\$ 2.24	\$	-	\$	2.24
West High School Utilidor Phase 3	20	\$9,510,000	0%	\$ 731,092	\$ 1.85	\$	-	\$	1.85
Planning and Design 2024/2025 Projects	20	\$3,865,000	0%	\$ 297,126	\$ 0.75	\$	-	\$	0.75
Heavy Equipment Replacement	10	\$3,800,000	0%	\$ 480,240	\$ 1.22	\$	-	\$	1.22
Proposition 1 Total		\$ 63,822,000	0%	\$ 5,094,499	\$ 12.90	\$	-	\$	12.90

[1] Estimate of Bond Sale
 [2] Estimate as of CY2024 Valuation