LAID-ON-THE-TABLE

(S-4) Submitted by: Assembly Member Brawley (S-4) Reviewed by: Assembly Counsel's Office (S-4) For reading: December 17, 2024

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AO No. 2024-105(S-4)*

(NOTE: this (S-4) version is presented without legislative drafting markup from the original AO text, it is written as a new ordinance. See AM for summary of changes)

AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY PROPOSING A TAX REVENUE DIVERSIFICATION MEASURE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE MUNICIPALITY THAT WOULD ENACT A SALES AND USE TAX OF THREE PERCENT (3%) IN THE AGGREGATE ON THE SALE OF GOODS AND SERVICES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY. WITH CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS, COMPRISED OF A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) TAX FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF REDUCING PROPERTY TAXES PROPORTIONATELY THROUGHOUT THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE "TAX CAP" CALCULATION, AND A TWO AND A HALF PERCENT (2.5%) TAX OUTSIDE OF THE TAX CAP DEDICATED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A TRUST FUND FOR VOTER AUTHORIZED COMMUNITY NEEDS; COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND AUDIT OF THESE SALES AND USE TAXES SHALL BE INCLUDED UNDER THE TAX CAP; AMENDING AMC CHAPTER 12.10 TO INCREASE THE BUSINESS INVENTORY PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION TO THE FIRST \$100,000 OF VALUE; AND PROVIDING FOR TRANSITION PROCEDURES AND EFFECTIVE DATES.

16 17 18

19

20

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

WHEREAS, there has been a desire by the community to diversify our tax base and find sustainable, fiscally responsible ways to ensure public services, facilities, and infrastructure continue to meet the public's expectations within the Municipality of Anchorage; and

212223

2425

WHEREAS, the Municipality of Anchorage is suffering from a net outmigration of residents with a net decline from 2013 (302,127) to 2023 (289,653) of 12,474 residents or ~4.1%; of which 18,314 are of working age and multiple forecasts predict this decline will continue; and

262728

29

30

WHEREAS, the Municipality of Anchorage has identified housing, aging infrastructure and equipment, challenging attracting and retaining workforce, and the high costs for residents and small business as key policy priorities to address outmigration and encourage economic growth; and

313233

34

WHEREAS, there is a desire to revitalize Anchorage to attract and retain residents, increase resident and visitor enjoyment, and enhance the livability of Anchorage; and

35 36 37

38

WHEREAS, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma's Metropolitan Area Projects (MAPS) public investment initiative served as the inspiration for a similar effort by the Anchorage

Economic Development Corporation (AEDC) and its project partners, now known as Project Anchorage; and

WHEREAS, Oklahoma City voters first approved MAPS in 1993, as an additional

WHEREAS, Oklahoma City voters first approved MAPS in 1993, as an additional 1% tax collected on top of its existing general sales tax, and dedicated this new increment of funding toward a list of capital improvement projects specified on the ballot; because Oklahoma City had not historically utilized general obligation of revenue bonds (a form of debt) to pay for capital improvements, the MAPS initiative was intentionally designed to work with the city's fiscal structure and meet voter expectations, while responding to the community's projected needs; and

WHEREAS, there are significant fiscal and structural differences between Oklahoma City and the Municipality of Anchorage, as well as differing community needs informing the 1993 and 2024 tax proposals, but Oklahoma City's intent and forward-looking approach to civic investment, and desire for accountability to voters for use of public funds served as inspiration for this ordinance;

WHEREAS, to reduce the regressive effect of a general retail sales tax, exemptions will be provided for certain transactions; and

WHEREAS, forecasted annual revenue from the tax, based on the mid-range of estimates and also depending on future policies choices such as allowed exemptions or per-transaction limits, is expected to be at least \$180 million after payment of costs of administration, collection and audit of the tax; and

WHEREAS, a sales and use tax is not new to Alaska with sales taxes, along with use tax in some instances, implemented in Juneau, Kenai, Homer, Ketchikan, Kodiak, Palmer, Seward, Soldotna, Wasilla and other jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, any ballot proposition for a special election is to be approved by the deadline established by an Assembly Resolution calling for a special election, pursuant to AMC section 28.20.010B.; now, therefore

THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS:

<u>Section 1.</u> Pursuant to state law and the Anchorage Municipal Charter, a ballot proposition in substantially the same form as appear in Section 2 below shall be placed on the ballot and submitted to the qualified voters of the Municipality at a special municipal election. The Assembly shall call a special election for this purpose in accordance with AMC section 28.20.010B.

<u>Section 2</u>. A ballot proposition shall be presented in substantially the following form:

PROPOSITION NO. ____
TAX REVENUE DIVERSIFICATION MEASURE:

CHARTER AMENDMENT AND ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE LEVY OF A 3% SALES AND USE TAX FOR PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION AND DEDICATION TO VOTER AUTHORIZED COMMUNITY NEEDS

The proposed Charter Amendment and Assembly Ordinance 202_-_would authorize and enact an aggregated three percent (3%) sales and use tax on the sale or use of goods and services within the Municipality of Anchorage, and require certain specified exemptions. The tax shall be first levied no earlier than September 1, 2026 and no later than June 1, 2027, the actual date of levy to be determined by the Assembly. For the first partial calendar year the sales and use tax is levied, the Municipality will also collect property taxes without reduction. This measure is comprised of two separate sales and use tax levies for separate purposes: (1) A one-half percent (0.5%) tax dedicated to the reduction of property taxes under the municipal Tax Cap, except that portion of this tax used for payment of the costs of administration, collection and audit. This is estimated to reduce the overall property tax burden by approximately_____. (2) A two and a half percent (2.5%) tax dedicated to fund community needs authorized by the voters. The full amount of this tax will be outside the Tax Cap.

This proposition would amend Anchorage Municipal Charter Section 14.03 and add new Section 14.08, as follows (new language proposed is shown in **bold and underlined**; language to be deleted is shown with [**strikeout in bold and brackets**]):

Section 14.03. - Tax increase limitation.

- (a) Except as provided in this section, the total amount of municipal tax that can be levied during a fiscal year shall not exceed the total amount approved by the assembly for the preceding year by more than a percentage determined by adding the average percentage increase in the Federal Consumer Price Index for Anchorage from the preceding five fiscal years plus the average percentage growth or loss in the Anchorage municipal population over the preceding five fiscal years as determined by the state department of community and regional affairs.
 - (1) The "total amount of the municipal tax that can be levied during a fiscal year" and the "total amount approved by the assembly for the preceding year" in subsection (a) of this section shall include all payments in-lieu of taxes paid or to be paid by any Municipality of Anchorage utility, department, agency or public corporation or authority.
 - (2) The "total amount approved by the assembly for the preceding year" shall be the total amount of the taxes and payments in-lieu of taxes approved by the assembly for

collection in the preceding year.

- (3) For the fiscal year that begins following the initial levy of the tax under Charter § 14.08(a), the "total amount of the municipal tax that can be levied during [the] fiscal year" shall be reduced by an amount equal to the net receipts of the one-half percent (0.5%) sales and use tax collected minus the payment of costs of administration, collection and audit to the Municipality pursuant to Charter § 14.08(a) in the year said tax is first levied.
- (4) For the fiscal year after the one in subsection (3), "the total amount approved by the assembly for the preceding year" shall include net receipts of the one-half percent (0.5%) sales and use tax collected after the costs of administration, collection and audit to the Municipality pursuant to Charter § 14.08(a) the year prior to the year for which the increase limitation is calculated; and the resulting "total amount of the municipal tax that can be levied during [the] fiscal year" shall be adjusted by the same amount.
- (b) The limitations set forth in subsection (a) do not apply to the following:
 - (1) Taxes on new construction or property improvements which occur during the current fiscal year.
 - (2) Taxes required to fund additional services mandated by voter approved ballot issues.
 - (3) Special taxes authorized by voter approved ballot issues.
 - (4) Taxes required to fund the costs of judgments entered against the municipality or to pay principal or interest on bonds, including revenue bonds.
 - (5) Taxes required to fund the cost of an emergency ordinance enacted pursuant to 10.03 of the Municipal Charter.
 - (6) Taxes imposed pursuant to Charter § 14.06 prior to 2019 and subsequent to 2023.
 - (7) Taxes imposed pursuant to Charter § 14.08(b).
- (c) Any tax increases which result from the exceptions set forth in subsection (b)(1)—(3) shall be added to the base amount which is used in subsection (a) for the calculations of the subsequent year tax increase limit. Taxes collected pursuant to Charter § 14.06 in 2018 shall be added to the base amount which is used in subsection (a) for calculations of the 2019 tax increase limit. Taxes collected pursuant to Charter § 14.06 in 2024 and subsequent years shall be in addition to taxes that can be levied pursuant to this section. To ameliorate the effect of excepting taxes in subsection (b)(6) subsequent to 2023, the

total amount of municipal tax that can be levied as calculated under subsection (a) for 2024 only shall be reduced by one million dollars.

<u>Section 14.08. - Sales and Use Tax; Portion Dedicated to Community Needs.</u>

- The assembly is hereby authorized to levy, to the extent provided by law, a one-half percent (0.5%) tax on the sale and use of goods and services within the municipality, with exemptions as provided by law. The proceeds of the 0.5% sales and use tax authorized by this section in one fiscal year, after payment of the costs of administration, collection and audit, are to be used in place of property taxes in the following year for any public purpose. The taxes collected used for payment of the costs of administration, collection and audit of the taxes authorized by this section shall be "special taxes" under Charter § 14.03(b).
- (b) In addition to the tax authorized by subsection (a), the assembly is hereby authorized to levy a two and a half percent (2.5%) tax on the sale and use of goods and services within the municipality dedicated to funding community needs. This tax shall be levied at the same time, in the same manner, and with identical exemptions as the tax authorized by subsection (a).
 - (1) "Community needs" are defined as follows:
 - (i) Housing construction, rehabilitation and repairs, related capital improvements and infrastructure, and investments to reduce housing cost burdens;
 - (ii) <u>Improvements to real property to support small</u> business development;
 - (iii) <u>Expansion of service, capital and operational</u> <u>improvements for public transportation;</u>
 - (iv) Construction, rehabilitation and repairs, and other improvements to public facilities and civic institutions serving the public;
 - (v) <u>Improvements that maintain, enhance, or increase</u>
 <u>the public's access to and utilization of, public</u>
 <u>facilities and amenities</u>
 - (vi) Operations, maintenance, and safety funds for public facilities, amenities, and infrastructure created or improved through this fund. This does

not create an ongoing obligation by the Municipality to private property owners for future maintenance and repairs, or to fund subsequent improvements to private property.

- The gross receipts of the two and a half percent tax dedicated above shall be used to establish a trust fund and be deposited therein. The assembly shall establish by ordinance a level of trust fund assets reasonable and necessary to hold in reserve for investment earnings sufficient to be available during the economic life of the fund's obligations, which may include costs of operations, maintenance and safety improvements of public facilities and services consistent with the dedicated uses of this fund. The assembly may authorize draws from the trust by ordinance, with the following stipulations:
 - (i) Investment earnings are first used for payment of costs for operations, maintenance and safety improvements for public facilities and services wholly or partially funded by the dedicated tax revenue. Investment earnings unencumbered at the end of the fiscal year shall become part of the trust corpus.
 - (ii) Appropriations from the trust corpus are authorized for costs of funding design, development, and construction of a project the assembly finds meets a community need.
 - (iii) Appropriations from the trust corpus may not cause the balance to fall below the trust reserve level established by the assembly in accordance with this section.
- (3) The assembly shall establish an investment policy for the Trust Fund and Reserves by ordinance. The assembly may enact such additional provisions, not inconsistent with this section, as necessary or desirable to implement this section.

- (c) The taxes authorized by this section shall first be levied no earlier than September 1, 2026 and no later than June 1, 2027, as determined by the assembly by ordinance.
- (d) The assembly may prescribe exemptions to the taxes imposed by this section by ordinance, and shall enact such additional provisions, not inconsistent with this section, as necessary or desirable to implement this section.
- (e) The assembly shall not establish an overall maximum amount taxable in each transaction applicable to all goods and services, but may by ordinance identify and set taxable transaction limits on categories of goods and services,. No limit shall be less than \$5,000.
- (f) The sales transactions subject to the tax levies imposed by Charter §§ 14.05, 14.06 and 14.07 are exempt from the tax imposed by this section.

And by amending Anchorage Municipal Charter, Article II (4), and Charter §14.01(b) as follows (underlined and bolded words are proposed new words; strikeouts in bold are proposed deletions):

ARTICLE II BILL OF RIGHTS

This Charter guarantees rights to the people of Anchorage that are in addition to rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Alaska. Among rights guaranteed by this Charter are:

*** *** ***

(4) The right of immunity from sales taxes, except upon approval by three-fifths (3/5) of the qualified voters voting on the question except the taxes imposed by Charter § [Section] 14.05, § [and Section] 14.07, and § 14.08 shall be effective if approved by a majority (50 percent + one) of the qualified voters voting on the question.

Section 14.01 Taxing Authority

*** *** ***

(b) The right of immunity from sales taxes, except upon approval by three-fifths (3/5) of the qualified voters voting on the question except the taxes imposed by Charter § [Section] 14.05, § [and Section] 14.07, and § 14.08 shall be effective if approved by a majority (50 percent + one) of the qualified voters voting on the question.

If approved by more than 50% of the qualified voters voting on the question at a Municipal Election, the Charter amendments will become effective 30 days after certification of the election, and the sales and use tax levy will become effective no earlier than September 1, 2026 and no later than June 1, 2027, with the date of initial levy to be established by the Anchorage Assembly by ordinance.

Shall the Charter be amended as shown above and become law, authorizing an aggregated 3% sales and use tax, a portion dedicated for community needs?

YES NO

Section 3. Anchorage Municipal Code chapter 12.10 is hereby amended to read as follows, effective if and only if the proposition in Section 2 of this ordinance is approved by the voters (the remainder of the chapter is not affected and therefore not set out):

Chapter 12.10 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXATION

12.10.010 Property subject to taxation.

- A. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the following personal property which has a tax situs within the municipality is subject to taxation:
 - 3. Taxable business personal property.
 - a. Business personal property is taxable to the extent that a taxpayer's total assessed value for all business personal property within the Municipality of Anchorage exceeds \$100,000.00 [\$20,000.00].

*** *** ***

(AO 220-76, § 1, 11-16-06; AO No. 81-218(S); AO No. 94-74(S-1), § 1, 1-1-95; AO No. 94-211, § 2, 1-1-95; AO No. 2004-140, § 1, 10-26-04; AO No.

2010-81(S-1), § 15, 12-7-10, eff. 1-1-11; AO No. 2011-30, § 2, 3-8-11, eff. 1-1-12; AO No. 2020-96, § 3, 9-1-21*)

12.10.020 **Exemptions.**

- A. Individual personal property utilized solely and exclusively for personal, non-business uses or purposes is exempt from taxation under this chapter.
- B. The first \$100,000.00 [\$20,000.00] of assessed valuation of taxable personal property owned by each taxpayer shall be exempt from municipal property taxation.
 - 1. For a taxpayer with more than one personal property tax account, the \$100,000.00 [\$20,000.00] exemption shall be distributed pro rata among all of the taxpayer's accounts, based on the proportion of the assessed value in each account to the total assessed value of that taxpayer's personal property.

(GAAB 10.05.030; AO No. 81-218(S); AO No. 85-182, 1-1-86; AO No. 86-211(S-1); AO No. 94-74(S-1), § 2, 1-1-95; AO No. 94-97(S), § 2, 1-1-95; AO No. 94-211, § 3, 1-1-95; AO No. 94-219, § 1, 1-1-95; AO No. 97-128, § 1, 10-21-97; AO No. 2004-140, § 2, 10-26-04; AO No. 2020-96, § 3, 9-1-21*)

<u>Section 4.</u> The full text of Sections 2 and 3 shall be published conspicuously on the Municipality's web pages, including the elections web pages, no later than the day ballot packages are first mailed to voters pursuant to Title 28, and made available at every accessible vote center and the Election Center on election day, and at any location established for early voting prior to election day at all times when such location is open for marking and casting ballots.

Section 5. The Charter amendments set forth in the proposition in Section 2 of this ordinance shall become effective on July 1, 2025, and the Code amendments set out in Section 3 shall become effective January 1, 2026, if and only if, said proposition is approved by a majority of the qualified voters of the Municipality voting on the proposition during a Municipal election; and furthermore the proposition set forth in Section 3 shall become effective if and only if the proposition set forth in Section 2 is approved. The remainder of this ordinance shall be effective upon passage and approval.

	PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly this _	day
of	, 2024.	

1			
2			
3			
4		Chair	
5			
6			
7	ATTEST:		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	Municipal Clerk		

LAID-ON-THE-TABLE

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE



ASSEMBLY MEMORANDUM

No. AM -2024

Meeting Date: December 17, 2024

From: Assembly Member Brawley

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

AO 2024-105 (S-4) - AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE Subject: MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY PROPOSING A TAX REVENUE DIVERSIFICATION MEASURE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE MUNICIPALITY THAT WOULD ENACT A SALES AND USE TAX OF THREE PERCENT (3%) IN THE AGGREGATE ON THE SALE OF GOODS AND SERVICES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY, WITH CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS, COMPRISED OF A ONE-HALF PERCENT (0.5%) TAX FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF REDUCING **PROPERTY TAXES PROPORTIONATELY** THROUGHOUT THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE "TAX CALCULATION, AND A TWO AND A HALF PERCENT (2.5%) TAX OUTSIDE OF THE TAX CAP DEDICATED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A TRUST FUND FOR VOTER AUTHORIZED COMMUNITY NEEDS; COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND AUDIT OF THESE SALES AND USE TAXES SHALL BE INCLUDED UNDER THE TAX CAP: AMENDING AMC CHAPTER 12.10 TO INCREASE THE BUSINESS INVENTORY PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION TO THE FIRST \$100,000 OF VALUE; AND PROVIDING FOR TRANSITION PROCEDURES AND EFFECTIVE DATES.

2021

22

23

This substitute version proposes a significantly different approach to the sponsors' Project Anchorage proposal (AO 2024-105 and -105(S)), but also carries forward several assumptions and broad goals from their concept:

242526

27

to balance burdens across residents, property owners, and business enterprises comprising our tax base.

• The community desires revitalization and enhancing quality of life, and

The Municipality must diversify its revenue sources while considering how

28 29 30

should dedicate locally-generated funding to this purpose.
Public cultural and recreational facilities and services are important aspects of quality of life, amenities that residents expect, and also generate

31 32

33

• It is critical to the long-term success and fiscal health of the Municipality, and our public facilities and services, to ensure we adequately fund operations, maintenance, major repairs and other known needs.

economic activity from both residents and visitors.

343536

 The Municipality's voters expect public funds to be spent wisely, with clear accountability and continued commitment to use new tax revenue for its intended purposes, and deliver results.

Sales taxes require public approval, and have trade-offs: it is therefore
important to consider what voters will support, and offer a balanced revenue
proposal that accounts for cost of living and existing property tax burden.

18

14

23

28 29

34

35

36

37

38

39 40 41

46

Other substitute versions introduced for this item also offer alternative designs using many of the same assumptions and goals. This version does not incorporate all other proposed elements, but the following items are particularly compelling:

- (S) Municipal services universal hardship application, trust fund for dedicated revenue collected, Community Work Agreement (CWA) language, certain exemptions such as feminine hygiene products and nonprofit organizations.
- (S-1) Putting the sales tax (detailed proposal) voters as a special election
- (S-2) Municipal programs and benefits Charter amendment and proposal. language including "community need" and "public purpose," dedications to housing and transit, addressing business personal property (inventory) tax
- (S-3) Dedications to maintenance. language including "public purpose." removes property tax relief.

Approach and Priorities in This Version: A Community Needs Sales Tax

The following policy approach and priorities guided creating this version:

- 1. Focus on community needs, and prioritize what we need over what we want. These are not mutually exclusive, but focusing new revenue into our housing, infrastructure, existing public facilities and services, while still imagining new possibilities, helps achieve the goal of investing in ourselves.
- 2. Elevate funds for dedicated community needs above property tax relief. This proposal does not remove replacement of existing property tax revenue under the cap, but de-prioritizes it and shifts all administration costs to be fully within the tax cap.
- 3. Maintain and keep improving what we have. This applies not only to public facilities, like libraries, but also to our housing stock, commercial properties that mean growth opportunities for small businesses, and civic and recreational amenities that people expect in thriving communities.
- 4. Use new dedicated funding for necessary and practical needs that are not economic (will not be addressed by the market). The proposed uses for the funds address broad needs: housing, repair of existing facilities, public transit, and other categories. Where possible, the language narrows the focus to what will not pay for itself through the private sector: construction of new affordable housing, improvements to existing affordable housing, and expansion of bus routes as a match to federal funding.
- 5. Create a tax mechanism that is both stable and flexible for the long term. Sunset provisions, prohibitions on using financing, and other specific design choices made in the sponsors' version of the tax will make it more difficult to administer this tax long term, and could create more volatility in property tax bills if voters fail to re-authorize the tax in a future year. Changing these provisions do not change the underlying premise of the tax, and preserves clear quardrails to protect these new public funds.
- 6. Manage administrative burden and cost for government, entities utilizing

- project funds, and the private sector. Some design features in this proposal are intended to give flexibility to future elected leaders and the public, to avoid complex charter amendments in future years and allow for addressing the Municipality's needs as they evolve over time. Rather than narrowly defining specific projects or uses of funds, this version gives multiple options and contemplates creation of different initiatives over time.
- 7. Do not attempt to define all the details in the ballot measure. The last portion of this memorandum lists proposed policy choices for a future implementation ordinance, as well as possible exemptions, but does not address all of these in what goes before voters.
- 8. Take the time to create the right proposal, and make space for voters to consider this big question on the ballot. This proposes a special election for September 2025, which allows additional time past the deadlines for the April 1, 2025 election to continue working toward a consensus-based, redesigned version of this proposal before it goes to voters.

Policy Choices in Proposed Ballot Language

- 1. Retains the 3% overall tax rate.
- 2. Retains a split between property tax relief (i.e., general government funding under the tax cap) and dedicated funds to specified purposes. It changes the proportion from 2:1 to 1:5, with 0.5% to property tax relief (one-sixth or 17% of total revenue) and 2.5% to dedicated purposes, outside the tax cap (five-sixths or 83% of total revenue).
- 3. Removes the sunset provision. This means that the tax itself does not expire, or require active re-authorization by voters; both property tax relief and the dedicated fund uses continue in perpetuity. Accountability to voters is maintained via dedication of funds in the Charter; the opportunity to expand, remove, or change allowed dedications remains available to voters through a future Charter amendment, which may be placed on the ballot by the Assembly.
- 4. Proposes a different approach and clear set of options to utilize the new tax funding, focused on housing production, public transit, encouraging reuse and improvements to our existing building stock, and supporting small businesses who make physical building improvements. Recognizing the desire to re-invest in Anchorage and amenities that support quality of life, funds can also be utilized for constructing new facilities as well as improvements to existing facilities, from libraries to parks. Additionally, the fund may support operations and maintenance for public facilities, consistent with Project Anchorage's version.
- 5. Allows for use of dedicated funds for debt service, and does not require 80% equity before beginning construction.
- 6. Excludes alcoholic beverages, marijuana products, and room rental tax from sales tax, unless voters amend those separate sections of the Charter to make changes to how those existing funds are already dedicated.
- 7. Removes much of the specificity about exemptions, but retains references to nonprofit organizations, sales and rental of real property, fuel and gasoline, business purchases for resale, private individual sales, and moving expenses (bringing goods into the city to establish residence).

2

10 11 12

13

14

22 23

24 25

26

31 32

33

39

40

46 47 48

49

- 8. Prohibits a universal transaction cap, but allows the Assembly to set transaction caps for categories of some goods and services. The limit must be at least \$5,000.
- 9. Shifts all collection, enforcement, and audit functions to be within the cap, and collected from the portion used as property tax relief.
- 10. Includes raising the exemption for business personal property tax from \$20,000 to \$100,000. By law the Assembly is allowed to increase this exemption further by ordinance; it does not require approval of the voters.
- 11. Calls for a special election to be held September 9, 2025, with effective dates and implementation dates adjusted accordingly. This requires the tax take effect between September 1, 2026 and by June 1, 2027.

Additional Information about Proposed Community Needs (Allowed Uses)

The list proposed in the ballot proposition is intended to provide clear guardrails for how these funds can be spent, while also building in flexibility to consider new funding programs and shift priorities in the fund over time. Because the tax itself does not sunset in this version and is not built around individual named projects, the intent is to authorize multiple possible uses of the fund, and continue refining and prioritizing these before (and after) implementation of the tax itself. This version assumes that any of the community recreation projects proposed are compatible with allowed uses, provided that the facility is serving the public.

It does not require returning to voters to re-authorize what has already been approved in the Charter, similar to how alcohol and marijuana tax dedications have been designed; it does, however, contemplate that in the future, voters may approve changes to this list by Charter amendment: this may include adding, changing, or removing a priority area.

Within each authorized use of the funds, listed below, there are multiple possibilities for addressing the community's needs: under each are ideas to explore further.

- 1. "Housing construction, rehabilitation and repairs, capital improvements and infrastructure, and investments to reduce housing cost burdens"
 - Capital grants for construction of new affordable rental housing for households below 80% AMI. There is parallel work to create tax incentives for market-rate and workforce housing, but new housing for low income households is not economic without direct subsidy.
 - o Capital grants or revolving loan fund for reconstruction or rehabilitation of existing affordable housing, such as roof replacements.
 - ADA accessibility improvements to existing housing, such as ramps.
 - Matching capital funds for major repairs of structures and private infrastructure systems of rental housing, mobile home parks, and common interest communities (condo associations) to restore the property to minimum living standard. To ensure owners are investing in their own properties, at least a 50% match is required, which can include leveraging other federal, state, local, and private funds.
 - Matching water and sewer improvements for capital projects that include housing, within AWWU service boundaries.
 - o Improvements to mitigate cost burdens for residents could include

- energy efficiency investments: on-demand water heaters, solar panels, passive heating and cooling upgrades, and better insulation.
- 2. "Improvements to real property to support small business development"
 - Similar program to affordable housing revolving loan funds, or matching funds for major repairs, but focused on small businesses with a physical presence within the Municipality. This could include tenant improvements for start-up businesses, safety improvements (such as roof truss replacements), and reducing costs of doing business in storefronts and commercial properties.
 - One-time funding for businesses to make improvements directly related to required licensing or other code compliance: this may include cottage food businesses, restaurants requiring food and safe service permits, licensed child care providers who must meet specific physical requirements for operation of a center, and ADA accessibility improvements that benefit customers and businesses.
- 3. "Expansion of service, capital and operational improvements for public transportation"
 - Additional fixed routes, special routes serving time-limited events, or paratransit services.
 - o Higher level of route service such as route frequency, operating hours.
 - Capital improvements, maintenance and repair of transit facilities including centers and transfer points, bus shelters, signage, and ADA accessibility improvements at or immediately around bus stops.
 - Improved communication, ticketing, and customer service offerings intended to improve transit access and increase ridership.
 - This category overlaps with #5 below, but does not assume that transit service improvements must be tied to specific public facilities.
- 4. "Construction, rehabilitation and repairs, and other improvements to public facilities and civic institutions serving the public; this includes both existing and future municipal facilities"
 - Existing facilities (examples): Performing Arts Center, Mountain View Library, Animal Control Center, Town Square Park, Cuddy Park, existing Recreation Centers, trails and related amenities.
 - Potential new facilities (examples): Downtown Library, Chester Creek Sports Complex, new Health Department building including public health clinics and other direct services. Project Anchorage's proposed projects would also be examples of possible new facilities.
- 5. "Improvements that maintain, enhance, or increase the public's access to and utilization of, public facilities and amenities"
 - This could mean transit service changes, wayfinding or trail connections between facilities, communications and information sharing to encourage public use, language access, ADA accommodations, and other improvements to make public facilities more accessible to more people.
- 6. "Operations, maintenance, and safety funds for public facilities, amenities, and infrastructure created or improved through this fund."
 - This is the same proposal as the one in Project Anchorage, but contemplates that the funding can be used on existing and new buildings, provided that they received sales tax funding for

improvements, and are public facilities or amenities. This funding is not intended to fully subsidize or replace existing operational revenue streams for all public facilities, but can be used to support operations.

The language in the last item on the list is intended to draw a clear distinction between use of public funds to improve <u>private</u> property (as a one-time capital investment) and use of the funds for public facilities. The idea of this version, and Project Anchorage, is to ensure anything built with sales tax funds has a stable revenue stream to operate and maintain these assets. This certainly should be the case for public properties, but should not be understood to be an ongoing revenue source for private property owners who receive a one-time benefit, or an obligation by the Municipality to commit further funds to future projects at these same private properties for maintenance. Using these funds for private property is to provide one-time catalyzing funds to incentivize repairs, construction, and meeting needs the real estate market cannot on its own, not to subsidize ongoing operations.

Additional Policy and Choices to Address in Implementation Ordinance(s)

This version does not directly address the many other policy choices to make regarding implementation of a new tax. Some ideas are noted briefly here for future consideration:

- The portion of the tax for property tax relief, as a percent of total revenue, will vary year over year. While taxpayers will inevitably experience some volatility in their tax bills in the first years of the tax, there may be ways to smooth out peaks and dips long term with a policy like calculating the under-the-cap portion of revenue over a 3-year average (using the most recent 3 years of collections on a rolling basis). This may require more enabling language in the ballot measure, but was not specifically included in this version.
- Maximum taxable amounts on a transaction should not be set across the board, but considered for categories of higher-price goods and services. For example, transaction caps can be set for vehicles, boats, and airplanes, that may be different than those for materials such as lumber and appliances; separate limits may be appropriate for contract services. There should be further modeling to define appropriate levels by product and service type.
- Exemptions are not directly addressed in the ballot language, but allows for an ordinance setting exemptions in code, which will have its own public hearing process. Many exemptions in other versions are worthy of consideration, but there are two distinct approaches proposed, that should be reconciled. The first is to exempt certain goods and services, no matter who the purchaser is; the second is to exempt certain people or households from paying the tax on any goods, based on socioeconomic status. While a blend of these approaches may be appropriate (for example, exempting rent or medical services for all people), in several situations they are duplicative.
- Recognizing the cost of collection for this tax, and the different level of administrative work for larger and smaller businesses, there could be a limited exemption for businesses to offset these costs, where businesses retain a portion of tax revenue they collect. One design option is defining tiers based on total revenue, rather than a percentage of total sales tax collected, because it can allow for a more generous exemption "floor" for very small

14

15

16

17

10

22 23

24

29

30

31

32 33

40 41 42

38

39

43 44

45

operations. This example is based on businesses' reported gross revenue:

- Less than \$10,000 gross revenue: can retain a credit up to \$1,000
- At least \$10,000 and less than \$50,000: up to \$1,500
- At least \$50,000 and less than \$100,000: up to \$2,000
- At least \$100,000 and less than \$500,000: up to \$3,000
- At least \$500,000 and less than \$1,000,000; up to \$4,000
- More than \$1,000,000: up to \$5,000
- Other versions include adoption of the Alaska Remote Seller Sales Tax Code, entity authorized to collect remote sales (online sales) from individuals on behalf of communities, and remit to those communities. The MOA already participates in this for alcohol sales completed online and shipped to customers; this should be included, and not require approval on the ballot.

Another Critical Future Revenue Source: Stormwater Utility (SWU)

This proposal does not directly address, but recognizes the need for, implementation of a stormwater utility (SWU). This new utility is already authorized in code, but needs to be operationalized. SWUs are common practice in American cities to address stormwater, drainage, and runoff needs, and the impacts of water on infrastructure and the built environment, separately from wastewater and solid waste generation, which are handled by existing utilities. This utility is intended to fund, over time, the infrastructure needed to manage drainage and runoff. Unmanaged drainage issues continue to be a significant cost driver in the Municipality's capital projects, from emergency repairs to upgrades, as well as for Anchorage property owners who experience damage from flooding, erosion, and other impacts. Like many other types of infrastructure, the Municipality has a large backlog of projects to address stormwater issues.

All property owners would likely be rate payers, similar to how customers connected to city water and sewer pay for this service. Ratepayers who reduce or prevent runoff of stormwater from their property into the system will also reduce their financial obligations to pay into this shared system, but large property owners, particularly those with surface parking lots and insufficient stormwater retention, will see the largest cost increases. While it is not practical to directly tie together the question of a sales tax including property tax relief with implementation actions for the new SWU, it should be noted that property tax relief can be seen as an offset for those property owners who stand to have the highest rates. For this reason, the property tax relief portion was not removed in this version, but remains with the intent that it is seen as an offset offered, with the understanding the SWU will be implemented as soon as is feasible.

Precedents for Public Investment in City Improvements

Special Assessment Districts

Existing Municipal code (AMC 19.10.020) describes creation of special assessment districts, and the variety of infrastructure, capital improvements, and services that

¹ AMC 19.10.020 Special Assessment Districts: Authorized improvements and services. Direct link: https://library.municode.com/ak/anchorage/codes/code of ordinances?nodeId=TIT19SPAS CH19.10SPAS **DIAU 19.10.020AUIMSE**

3 4 5

 are generally authorized to use with special assessment funding. This is the legal framework for the Downtown Improvement District, and services provided by Anchorage Downtown Partnership (ADP).

City-building in Late 19th and Early 20th Century America

The years before and after the turn of the last century were a period of massive wealth-building, economic growth, and urbanization of American communities, spurred by key technological advancements including electrification, light passenger rail, invention of the car, steel construction. This rapid growth also brought a large population of workers to cities seeking opportunity, as well as complex social issues to cities: tenement (substandard) housing, water and sanitation needs, urban poverty, and other challenges with many humans living together in the same spaces.

To address these challenges, two distinct movements arose, both of which had lasting impact on the physical form and complex operational systems of cities:

The first was the City Beautiful movement, which focused on building grand civic buildings, parkways, and amenities for American cities to rival the large, wealthy cities of Europe. This approach left a legacy of civic monuments, institutions, and public spaces that came to define the character of our major cities: examples include Chicago's lakeshore, Washington D.C.'s National Mall, and Coral Gables, Florida.

The second was less visible today, but equally important: Progressive social reforms championed by city leaders such as Theodore Roosevelt in New York City, advocates such as Jane Addams with Hull House in Chicago, and others. These efforts focused on building urban infrastructure such as sanitation systems, drinking fountains, and public transportation; improving standard of living through regulation of housing quality, working conditions, and public education; and ensuring swift and effective emergency response through creation of fire and police departments.

Both movements made great and lasting contributions to cities: when considering how, and what, to build great cities in the 21st century, we would be wise to remember the value in investing in both our needs *and* our aspirations.

I request your support for the ordinance.

Reviewed by: Assembly Counsel's Office

Respectfully submitted: Anna Brawley, Assembly Member

District 3, West Anchorage